Page 1

SUPERIOR COURT

J.D. OF WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT

COMPLEX LITIGATION DOCKET

MARY BETH FARRELL, et : al, :

Plaintiffs,

: DOCKET NO.

v. : X06-UWY-CV-11

-6014102-S

JOHNSON & JOHNSON, et :

al,

:

Defendants. :

August 13, 2015

Oral deposition of JERRY G. BLAIVAS, M.D., taken pursuant to notice, was held at the law offices of Shipman & Goodwin, 300 Atlantic Avenue, Stamford, Connecticut, beginning at 9:10 a.m., on the above date, before Michelle L. Gray, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public.

GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 877.370.3377 ph|917.951.5672 fax deps@golkow.com

			Page	196
1	are you collecting pathology reports?			
2	A. No.	. Materials. This is all		
3	original research. He does all of the			
4	pathology so this has nothing to do with			
5	reports.			
6	Q. He'	s collecting pathologic		
7	samples and he's looking at them, right?			
8	A. Yes	3.		
9	Q. And	d then you two are		
10	collaborating on this manuscript but it's			
11	not yet done?			
12	<b>A</b> . The	e research isn't done		
13	isn't complete.			
14	Q. Are	you the one looking at		
15	the mesh under the microscope?			
16	A. No.	He does that.		
17	Q. Pat	hology is not your area,		
18	right?			
19	A. Cor	rect.		
20	Q. Wha	t is your role?		
21	A. We'	re starting to send him		
22	specimens. You'll be happy to know that			
23	the last specimen was a Prolift that I			
24	excised last Monday. We'll send that to			

Page 212 1 So I quess now it makes Q. The article that you're working 2 3 on with him, or the research, is histopathology. You're looking at the 5 mesh that's been embedded in the 6 paraffin. 7 Yes. You know, actually I'm Α. going to have to correct that. I'm not 8 9 This was over a year ago when we 10 started to do it. It makes more sense to 11 send him whole pieces. I'm sorry. 12 just don't remember. 13 Okay. Thank you for Q. 14 correcting that. I'd rather that than 15 you tell me something that you're not 16 sure of. 17 Because you're not a 18 pathologist and you're not in the 19 business of looking at mesh under a 20 microscope, would you leave the 21 interpretation of what is there to 22 others? 23 Yes. Α. 24 Doctor, you're getting

Page 274 Do you have any opinions 1 Q. about mesh degradation or is that beyond 2 3 your specialty? I have a -- my opinion --5 yes, I do have opinions. 6 What are they? Q. 7 That I would say that it Α. 8 more likely than not happens in some 9 instances. 10 What do you mean by Q. 11 degradation? 12 I mean, the -- the coating Α. 13 of the mesh sort of falls -- at the microscopic level, falls off, develops 14 cracks and fissures. And different 15 16 additives that are used in the process of 17 making the mesh can sort of leech out and 18 can potentially cause either inflammation 19 or other -- or further the process of 20 degradation that can weaken the mesh, and 21 then there's theoretic considerations that it could cause problems in the 22 23 future. 24 Is there any evidence in

Page 275 1 this case that Mary Beth Farrell's mesh, either the Prolene Soft mesh that was 2 3 removed, or the Monarc sling, degraded? MS. FUSCO: Objection. 4 Well, I don't 5 THE WITNESS: think anything was done to 6 7 determine that. That would 8 require electron microscopy. 9 Unless someone has looked at it you wouldn't be able to tell. 10 BY MS. MAIMBOURG: 11 12 So as of this point, the Q. answer is you don't know? 13 14 Correct. 15 Q. If degradation occurred, how 16 soon would you expect it to happen? 17 I couldn't -- I mean, I Α. 18 think the longer it's in the body, the 19 more likely it is to happen. 20 couldn't answer that question. 21 Is this something that would Q. be within the expertise of a biomaterials 22 23 expert? 24 MS. FUSCO: Objection.

	_		
		Page 276	
1	THE WITNESS: I think a		
2	combination of biomaterials and		
3	pathology, my gut says.		
4	BY MS. MAIMBOURG:		
5	Q. And since you have an		
6	expertise in urogynecology and urology,		
7	that's been the focus of your career,		
8	right?		
9	A. Yes. A focus.		
10	Q. A focus.		
11	Have you had a focus in your		
12	career on biomaterials and pathology?		
13	A. No.		
14	Q. Do you feel more comfortable		
15	deferring to an expert in one of those		
16	fields to talk about degradation and		
17	thereby saving me another two pages of		
18	outline questions?		
19	A. Absolutely.		
20	Q. I said that with a smile.		
21	MS. FUSCO: Can we take a		
22	break?		
23	(Short break.)		
24	MS. MAIMBOURG: What was my		

Page 316 1 know, we would check -- we would ask a question whether something needed to be 3 in or not. Was that in connection with Q. 5 the collagen 15 years ago and then the 6 nocturia a year or so ago? 7 No, that wasn't a device. That was also another drug. 8 That was 9 with some anti-cholinergic manufacturers. 10 Novartis was one. And Pfizer was 11 another. I was on the panel. 12 Do you understand or know if Q. 13 there are different regulations for drugs 14 and devices in terms of what must be in 15 warnings? 16 Yes, there are different. Α. Ι 17 don't know what they are, but I know 18 there are different. 19 Do you consider yourself an 0. 20 expert in developing warnings and labels 21 for medical devices? 22 I do not. Α. 23 Are you familiar with the Q.

federal regulations that govern IFUs,

24